

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,802	07/26/2000		William J. Dally	2789.2006-001	8246
24319	7590	11/09/2004		EXAM	INER
LSI LOGIC	CORPO	RATION	BROWN, VERNAL U		
1621 BARB	ER LANE	3		( <del>  </del>	
MS: D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILDITAS CA 05035				2635	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i i i	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/625,802	DALLY ET AL.					
riavisory risus.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vernal U Brown	2635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>(a) ∑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>							
· · · <u> </u>	•	see NOTE Delow);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation of amplifying in claims 13 and 21 requires futher search and/or consideration. Regarding applicant's argument concerning each crosspoint switch comprising an amplifier, Mu et al. teaches the crosspoint switch comprises an amplifier in each of the output busses (col. 10 line 45). The amplifier included in the output bus of the crosspoint switch satisfies the limitation of each crosspont comprising an amplifier as claimed in claim 1. The reference of Karp is relied upon for teaching a crossbar switches (col. 2 lines 41-42) having amplifiers in the input and output stage of the crossbar switch (figure 1). The reference of Sherman Sherman is relied upon for teaching the port of the buses in a crosspont switch (inputs and outputs) are driven at low swing voltages (col. 10 lines 18-25).

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600